

Applicant : Daniel R. Kiselik
Serial No. : 09/765,511
Response to Office Action of June 3, 2005

Date: 12/5/05
Art Unit: 3626

Amendments to Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

Claim 1 (currently amended). A method of operating a computer system for the automatic selection of parties to an a mutually-determined arrangement between a system-qualified requestor of selected requirements and a system-qualified satisfier of specific requirements, the method comprising the steps of:

inputting into the computer system a first predetermined composite of criteria representing each requestor qualified to enter the computer system as a system-qualified requestor;

inputting into the computer system a second predetermined composite of criteria representing each satisfier qualified to serve as a system-qualified satisfier in the computer system, the second predetermined composite of criteria including satisfaction criteria pertaining to the ability of the satisfier to satisfy specific requirements, and requesting criteria pertaining to requirements of the satisfier in a particular arrangement in order for the satisfier to enter into an arrangement with a particular requestor;

Applicant : Daniel R. Kiselik
Serial No. : 09/765,511
Response to Office Action of June 3, 2005

Date: 12/7/05
Art Unit: 3626

entering into the computer system a request for a satisfier by a requestor identified as a system-qualified requestor, the request for a satisfier including a schedule of requirements established by the system-qualified requestor;

comparing in the computer system the schedule of requirements with the satisfaction criteria of the second predetermined composite of criteria to establish a selected group of system-qualified satisfiers able to meet the schedule of requirements;

comparing in the computer system the requesting criteria of each satisfier of the selected group of system-qualified satisfiers with the first predetermined composite of criteria to establish a mutually-determined sub-group of satisfiers willing to enter into an arrangement with the system-qualified requestor entering the request for a satisfier;

outputting from the computer system the request for a satisfier for submission to the sub-group of system-qualified satisfiers for timely responses by satisfiers of the sub-group of system-qualified satisfiers;

inputting into the computer system timely responses by responding satisfiers of the sub-group of system-qualified satisfiers, and outputting from the computer system the timely responses inputted by the responding satisfiers for selection by

Applicant : Daniel R. Kiselik
Serial No. : 09/765,511
Response to Office Action of June 3, 2005

Date: 12/5/05
Art Unit: 3626

the requestor of a response from among those timely responses inputted by responding satisfiers;

inputting into the computer system a requestor selected response and outputting from the computer system the requestor selected response to notify the satisfier corresponding to the selected response of the selection of the selected response by the requestor; and

outputting from the computer system to the requestor the identity of the satisfier and outputting from the computer system to the satisfier the identity of the requestor for completion of the arrangement.

2(original). The method of claim 1 including inputting into the computer system, subsequent to completion of the arrangement, a rating by the requestor of the performance of the satisfier, and including the rating in the satisfaction criteria of the second predetermined composite of criteria.

3(original). The method of claim 1 including inputting into the computer system, subsequent to completion of the arrangement, a rating by the satisfier of the performance of the requestor, and

Applicant : Daniel R. Kiselik
Serial No. : 09/765,511
Response to Office Action of June 3, 2005

Date: 12/5/05
Art Unit: 3626

symptoms, and the satisfier includes a referred physician familiar with treatment of the symptoms.

8(currently amended). A computer system operated for the automatic selection of parties to an a mutually-determined arrangement between a system-qualified requestor of selected requirements and a system-qualified satisfier of specific requirements, the computer system comprising:

an inputting device for:

inputting into the computer system a first predetermined composite of criteria representing each requestor qualified to enter the computer system as a system-qualified requestor;

inputting into the computer system a second predetermined composite of criteria representing each satisfier qualified to serve as a system-qualified satisfier in the computer system, the second predetermined composite of criteria including satisfaction criteria pertaining to the ability of the satisfier to satisfy specific requirements, and requesting criteria pertaining to requirements of the satisfier in a requestor in order for the satisfier to enter into an arrangement with a particular requestor;
and

Applicant : Daniel R. Kiselik
Serial No. : 09/765,511
Response to Office Action of June 3, 2005

Date: 12/5/05
Art Unit: 3626

entering into the computer system a request for a satisfier by a requestor identified as a system-qualified requestor, the request for a satisfier including a schedule of requirements established by the system-qualified requestor;

such that the computer system compares:

the schedule of requirements with the satisfaction criteria of the second predetermined composite of criteria to establish a selected group of system-qualified satisfiers able to meet the schedule of requirements; and

the first predetermined composite of criteria with the requesting criteria of each satisfier of the selected group of system-qualified satisfiers to establish a mutually-determined sub-group of satisfiers willing to enter into an arrangement with the system-qualified requestor entering the request for a satisfier; and

an outputting device for:

outputting from the computer system the request for a satisfier for submission to the sub-group of system-qualified satisfiers for timely responses by satisfiers of the sub-group of system-qualified satisfiers;

the inputting device further being operative for:

Applicant : Daniel R. Kiselik
Serial No. : 09/765,511
Response to Office Action of June 3, 2005

Date: 12/5/05
Art Unit: 3626

inputting into the computer system timely responses by responding satisfiers of the sub-group of system-qualified satisfiers, and outputting from the computer system the timely responses inputted by the responding satisfiers for selection by the requestor of a response from among those timely responses inputted by responding satisfiers; and

inputting into the computer system a requestor selected response and outputting from the computer system the requestor selected response to notify the satisfier corresponding to the selected response of the selection of the selected response by the requestor; and

the outputting device further being operative for:

outputting from the computer to the requestor the identity of the satisfier and outputting from the computer to the satisfier the identity of the requestor for completion of the arrangement.

9(original). The computer system of claim 8 wherein the inputting device is further operative for inputting into the computer system, subsequent to completion of the arrangement, a rating by the requestor of the performance of the satisfier, and including the rating in the satisfaction criteria of the second predetermined composite of criteria.

Applicant : Daniel R. Kiselik
Serial No. : 09/765,511
Response to Office Action of June 3, 2005

Date: 12/5/05
Art Unit: 3626

10(original). The computer system of claim 8 wherein the inputting device is further operative for inputting into the computer system, subsequent to completion of the arrangement, a rating by the satisfier of the performance of the requestor, and including the rating in the first predetermined composite of criteria.

11(currently amended). An improvement in a method of operating a computer system for the automatic selection of parties to ~~an~~ a mutually-determined arrangement to be completed between a system-qualified requestor of selected requirements and a system-qualified satisfier of specific requirements, wherein a first predetermined composite of criteria representing each requestor qualified to enter the computer system as a system-qualified requestor is inputted into the computer system and a second predetermined composite of criteria representing each satisfier qualified to serve as a system-qualified satisfier in the computer system is inputted into the computer system, the second predetermined composite of criteria including satisfaction criteria pertaining to the ability of the satisfier to satisfy specific requirements, and requesting criteria pertaining to requirements of the satisfier in a particular arrangement in order for the

Applicant : Daniel R. Kiselik
Serial No. : 09/765,511
Response to Office Action of June 3, 2005

Date: 12/5/05
Art Unit: 3626

satisfier to enter into an a mutually-determined arrangement with a particular requestor, the improvement comprising: inputting into the computer system, subsequent to completion of the mutually-determined arrangement, a rating by the requestor of the performance of the satisfier, and including the rating in the satisfaction criteria of the second predetermined composite of criteria.

12 (currently amended). An improvement in a method of operating a computer system for the automatic selection of parties to an a mutually-determined arrangement to be completed between a system-qualified requestor of selected requirements and a system-qualified satisfier of specific requirements, wherein a first predetermined composite of criteria representing each requestor qualified to enter the computer system as a system-qualified requestor is inputted into the computer system and a second predetermined composite of criteria representing each satisfier qualified to serve as a system-qualified satisfier in the computer system is inputted into the computer system, the improvement comprising: inputting into the computer system, subsequent to completion of the mutually-determined arrangement, a rating by the

Applicant : Daniel R. Kiselik Date: 12/5/05
Serial No. : 09/765,511 Art Unit: 3626
Response to Office Action of June 3, 2005

satisfier of the performance of the requestor, and including the rating in the first predetermined composite of criteria.

13 (currently amended). In a computer system operated for the automatic selection of parties to an a mutually-determined arrangement to be completed between a system-qualified requestor of selected requirements and a system-qualified satisfier of specific requirements, wherein an inputting device is operative for inputting into the computer system a first predetermined composite of criteria representing each requestor qualified to enter the computer system as a system-qualified requestor and a second predetermined composite of criteria representing each satisfier qualified to serve as a system-qualified satisfier in the computer system, the second predetermined composite of criteria including satisfaction criteria pertaining to the ability of the satisfier to satisfy specific requirements, and requesting criteria pertaining to requirements of the satisfier in a particular arrangement in order for the satisfier to enter into an a mutually-determined arrangement with a particular requestor, the improvement wherein: the inputting device is further operative for inputting into the computer system, subsequent to completion of the mutually-determined arrangement, a rating by the requestor of the

Applicant : Daniel R. Kiselik
Serial No. : 09/765,511
Response to Office Action of June 3, 2005

Date: 12/5/05
Art Unit: 3626

16 (withdrawn, subject to presentation in a divisional application).

17 (withdrawn, subject to presentation in a divisional application).

18 (withdrawn, subject to presentation in a divisional application).

19 (withdrawn, subject to presentation in a divisional application).

20 (withdrawn, subject to presentation in a divisional application).

21 (withdrawn, subject to presentation in a divisional application).

22 (withdrawn, subject to presentation in a divisional application).

Applicant : Daniel R. Kiselik
Serial No. : 09/765,511
Response to Office Action of June 3, 2005

Date: 12/15/05
Art Unit: 3626

23 (withdrawn, subject to presentation in a divisional application).

24 (withdrawn, subject to presentation in a divisional application).

25 (withdrawn, subject to presentation in a divisional application).

26 (withdrawn, subject to presentation in a divisional application).

27 (withdrawn, subject to presentation in a divisional application).

28 (withdrawn, subject to presentation in a divisional application).

29 (withdrawn, subject to presentation in a divisional application).

Applicant : Daniel R. Kiselik Date: 12/5/05
Serial No. : 09/765,511 Art Unit: 3626
Response to Office Action of June 3, 2005

30 (withdrawn, subject to presentation in a divisional application).

31 (withdrawn, subject to presentation in a divisional application).

32 (withdrawn, subject to presentation in a divisional application).

33 (withdrawn, subject to presentation in a divisional application).

34 (withdrawn, subject to presentation in a divisional application).